**Table 3: Information about Twelve States with Constitutional Provisions similar to Pennsylvania**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State** | **CDs** | **Unified Control (2011)** | **Unified Control (2016)** | **Seats (2016)** | **Votes (2016)** | **Mean DEM District Vote Share** | **Median DEM District Vote Share** | **Efficiency Gap** | **Who does districting** |
| Arizona | 9 | X (R) | X (R) | 44.4% | 48.1% | 50.4% | 49.4% | 0.08 | Independent commission |
| Arkansas | 4 | X (D) | X (R) | 0% | 35.7% | 35.5% |  |  | state legislature |
| Delaware | 1 | X (D) | X (D) | 100% | 55.9% | 56% |  |  | NA |
| Illinois | 18 | X (D) |  | 61.1% | 59.0% | 59.6% | 59.8% | 0.08 | state legislature |
| Indiana | 9 | X (R) | X (R) | 22.2% | 39.9% | 40.1% | 35.9% | 0.09 | state legislature |
| Kentucky | 6 |  | X (R) | 16.7% | 34.3% | 33.8% |  |  | state legislature |
| Oklahoma | 5 | X (R) | X (R) | 0% | 30.7% | 30.7% |  |  | state legislature |
| Oregon | 5 |  | X (D) | 80% | 56.2% | 56% |  |  | state legislature |
| South Dakota | 1 | X (R) | X (R) | 0% | 34.0% | 34% |  |  | NA |
| Tennessee | 9 | X (R) | X (R) | 22.2% | 36.4% | 37.4% | 31.3% | 0.03 | state legislature |
| Washington | 10 | X (D) | X (D) | 70% | 58.8% | 56% | 52.3% | -0.05 | 5-member independent commission |
| Wyoming | 1 | X (R) | X (R) | 0% | 24.3% | 24.3% |  |  | NA |

NOTE: Seats and votes are based on the 2016 five-election projection (to deal with the existence of non-contested congressional districts). Percentages are of the Democratic two-party vote. Columns labelled “Votes” and “Seats” are based on state-wide raw vote results, while “Mean” and “Median” are central tendencies of district level results. This difference is why the percentages reported in columns 6 and 8 are not identical. Data from *DailyKos*, *All about Redistricting*, and *Ballotpedia* web sites. States with fewer than 9 districts do not have efficiency gap or median values reported because of the potential unreliability of those calculations given the small number of districts involved.